

Transportation

Eno

Center for
Transportation

February 18, 1966

MEMORANDUM

To : Joe Califano
From: Alan S. Boyd

Attached is a cumulative report on Congressional contacts made on the President's expected Message on Transportation.

Attachments

LEADERSHIP

Eno

Center for
Transportation

The jurisdictional problems involved in the proposed Highway Safety bill have been pointed out to Rep. Carl Albert and to Frank Valeo.

On personal views, so far we have:

- | | | |
|-------------|---|---|
| Hale Boggs | - | Strongly in favor of action, but has reservations about topping the trust fund without further revenue being added. |
| Carl Albert | - | So far discussion limited to jurisdictional problems of highway safety bill. |
| John Moss | - | Generally favorable to package. |
| Mansfield | - | Unavailable. |

2/18/66

PROPOSED DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Eno

Center for
Transportation

Senate Government Operations Committee

Membership 14

Contacted 11

Democrats for 7

Republicans for 1

Total for 8

1 D and 2 R

non-committed

Democrats against _____

Republicans against _____

Total against _____

House Government Operations Committee

Membership 314

Contacted 23

Democrats for 14

Republicans for 2

Total for 16

5 D undecided or
leaning against

1 R undecided

Democrats against 1

Republicans against 1

Total against 1

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
SENATE GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

Eno

Center for
Transportation

DEMOCRATS

- McClellan - Okay, will hold hearings himself and expedite.
- Jackson - For the proposal; questioned whether it will cost more money.
- Ervin -
- Gruening - Okay.
- Muskie - Sounds pretty good
- Ribicoff - All the way with us
- Harris - Open mind.
- Kennedy - Thinks this is the right approach but wants to make sure we have studied Jim Landis' report on transportation to President Kennedy. He thinks there are some reservations in that report that should be taken into consideration.
- Metcalf - Wholeheartedly in favor of new Department, but makes no final commitment. Wants assurance the new Department will not hurt the Corps of Engineers.
- Montoya -

REPUBLICANS

- Mundt - No preconceived notions. Railroads and truckers have been to see him and advised him "to watch out for this one." Mundt says, however, he sees nothing in the President's proposal, as described to him over the telephone, which would affect adversely either railroads or truckers, latter of which is important to South Dakota.
- Curtis - Open-minded. Doesn't know how people of Nebraska may react.
- Javits - Favors new Department BUT insists that President decide now how mass transit is to be handled. Javits said it should be assigned to the new Department and the new Secretary should be a big city man. Don't leave the issue up in the air, he said. "This is as hot as a pistol." 2/17
- Simpson -

DEMOCRATS

- Inouye - Okay

- Randolph - No commitment

REPUBLICANS

- Cooper - Non-committal

Other Senators:

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
HOUSE GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

DEMOCRATS

- Dawson - Favorably disposed toward the President's proposals, but just beginning to think about them.
- Holifield - Will go along; expects to handle the bill himself; wants to be informed of any jurisdictional problem among House Committees and the attitude of outside interests. Will offer Staggers and Garmatz both an early opportunity to testify or make statements.
- Brooks - Most enthusiastic.
- Fountain - Has not had time to consider carefully, but his natural reaction is against setting up new Department.
- Hardy - General aspects make sense, but wants more details.
- Platnik -
- Jones - (Alabama) Will go along. (He talked to the President while we were in his office)
- Garmatz - Questions advisability of moving Maritime to new Department. Leans toward view that Maritime should be handled separately, that it does not fit into an overall Department of Transportation. He will take considerable convincing. He expressed concern that the fate of Maritime in a Department under a Secretary who might be "air oriented."
- Moss - Makes no positive commitment but appears favorable. Feels that Government Operations will approve the new Department.
- Fascell - Has a favorable impression.
- Reuss - Has reservations; opposed to proliferation of new Departments, but is open to "persuasion."
- Monagan - Off hand, it sounds pretty good.
- Macdonald -
- Roush - First reaction is favorable. Must show that it will improve efficiency and that planning in transportation can be carried forward on a more solid basis.
- Moorhead - Leans favorably to new Department but wants to know what will happen to mass transit and how urban transportation will be affected by creation of the new Department.
- Gallagher - Makes sense
- Randall - Opposed to new Department; against "pyramiding up." Voted against HUD. He said he won't fight us or "make noise," however.

Department of Transportation
House Government Operations Committee (continued)



DEMOCRATS (continued)

- Rosenthal - Okay
- Wright - Expressed reservations about new Department, particularly effect on inland waterways. Wanted to know Administration position on user charges and what criteria the new Secretary of Transportation would impose on navigation projects.
- St. Germain -
- King - (Utah) Promises to keep an open mind. Points out that he has voted with the Administration 98 percent of the time, that that a recent survey of political opinion in his State shows problems. He voted against HUD and therefore inclines against the new Department. "I must vote more conservatively this year."
- Dow - Inclination is to favor the new Department
- Helstoski - Okay.

REPUBLICANS

- Dwyer -
- Griffin -
- Reid - (New York) All for it
- Horton - Generally favorable; wants further details.
- Rumsfeld -
- Dickinson -
- Erlenborn - No immediate reaction.
- Callaway -
- Wyder -
- Dole -
- Brown - (Ohio)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
SENATE COMMERCE COMMITTEE

Eno
Center for
Transportation

DEMOCRATS

Magnuson - Okay

Pastore - Okay, per Manatos

Monroney - Sees no reason to transfer safety functions of CAB; no reason to set up Transportation Safety Board; concerned about role of FAA in new Department. McKee, who accompanied Alan Boyd to see Monroney, assured the Senator that he agreed to the transfer of FAA with two reservations which were met -- (1) that safety board be free of secretarial policy, and (2) that integrity of FAA be maintained. Monroney had no further specific questions. He must be convinced that the new Department will in fact improve civil aviation. He is also concerned about waterway user charges and believes new Department might be instrumental in pushing them through.

Lausche -

Bartlett -

Hartke - Seems favorable, per Manatos

McGee -

Hart - Okay, per Manatos

Cannon -

Brewster - "Very appealing" (Manatos)

Neuberger -

Bass -

REPUBLICANS

Cotton - No particular objections; concerned about NE Airline services

Morton - Generally favorable

Scott -

Prouty -

Pearson -

Dominick -

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
HOUSE INTERSTATE AND FOREIGN COMMERCE COMMITTEE

Eno
Center for
Transportation

DEMOCRATS

Staggers -
Rogers - (Texas) Seems okay.
Friedel - Seems okay. Strongly recommends FAA be relieved of management of Dulles and Friendship.
Macdonald -
Jarman -
O'Brien -
Moss - Generally favorable.
Dingell - Against transfer of Maritime to new Department. Also believes FAA should be independent.
Rogers - (Florida) No opinion.
Kornegay - May be okay, but concerned about transfer of CAB and ICC functions to new Department.
Van Deerlin -
Pickle - Okay, if no change in basic transportation laws.
Rooney - (Pa.) Okay.
Murphy - (New York) Already publicly in favor.
Satterfield - Open mind. "Must prove need."
Ronan - Okay.
Hudd -
Mackay -
Gilligan - Seems reasonable. "What about mass transit?"
Fransley - Okay.
Williams -

REPUBLICANS

Springer -
Younger -
Devine -
Nelsen -
Keith -
Curtin -

House Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committee
Department of Transportation (Continued)

Cunningham-

Broyhill - (North Carolina)

Harvey -

Carter -

Watson -

Other House members:

DEMOCRATS

Howard - Okay

Schmidhauser - Mildly favorable

Dorn - Okay

Dyal - Okay

Kee - Strongly for

Sweeney - Okay

Gray - Okay

Fallon - Okay

McCarthy - Okay

John Rooney - Has reservations.

Clark - (Pa.) Okay

Tuten - Probably Okay

Rivers - (Alaska) Okay

Henderson - Probably Okay.

Hechler - Enthusiastic

REPUBLICANS

Kunkel - Non-committal

Reid - (Ill.) Leaning negative, but open minded.

McEwen - Generally favorable

Clausen - Open minded

Eno

Center for
Transportation

HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAM

2/12/66

(Question of Committee jurisdiction has not been definitely clarified; both Commerce and Public Works Committees of House and Senate want the legislation.)



Senate Public Works

Membership 17

Contact 9

Democrats for 6

Republicans for 1

Total for 7

1 D and 1 R
undecided

Democrats against _____

Republicans against _____

Total against _____

House Public Works

Membership 34

Contacted 22

Democrats for 16

Republicans for _____

Total for 16

1 D and 5 R
doubtful

Democrats against _____

Republicans against _____

Total against _____

Senate Commerce

Membership 18

Contacted _____

Democrats for _____

Republicans for 1

Total for 1

Mike Manatos
checked D's

Democrats against _____

Republicans against 1

Total against 1

House Commerce

Membership 32

Contacted 14

Democrats for 5

Republicans for _____

Total for 8

6 D undecided
or against

Democrats against _____

Republicans against _____

Total against _____

HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAM

2/12/66

(Question of Committee jurisdiction has not been definitely clarified; both Commerce and Public Works Committees of House and Senate want the legislation.)

Senate Public Works

Membership 17

Contact 9

Democrats for 6

Republicans for 1

Total for 7

1 D and 1 R
undecided

House Public Works

Membership 34

Contacted 22

Democrats for 16

Republicans for

Total for 16

1 D and 5 R
doubtful

Senate Commerce

Membership 18

Contacted

Democrats for

Republicans for 1

Total for 1

Mike Manatos
checked D's

House Commerce

Membership 32

Contacted 14

Democrats for 5

Republicans for

Total for 8

6 D undecided
or against

Eno
Center for
Transportation

Democrats against

Republicans against

Total against

Democrats against

Republicans against

Total against

Democrats against

Republicans against 1

Total against 1

Democrats against

Republicans against

Total against

HIGHWAY SAFETY
SENATE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE



DEMOCRATS

- McNamara -
- Randolph - An important program but not enough money in trust fund. He has concluded that extension of Interstate system completion date is the solution to the lack of money rather than an increase in taxes. 2/17
- Young - (Through his Administrative Aide) Okay
- Muskie - Okay
- Gruening - Strong on safety
- Moss - Probably for, but he said he has a wait and see attitude
- Jordan -
- Inouye - Okay 2/17
- Bayh -
- Montoya -
- Tydings -
- Harris - Strongly in favor, would like to co-sponsor.

REPUBLICANS

- Cooper - Non-committal 2/17
- Fong -
- Boggs -
- Pearson -
- Murphy - Strongly for. 2/17

OTHER SENATORS

DEMOCRATS

- Ribicoff - For; may want stronger bill.

REPUBLICANS

- Curtis - No thoughts, although "very concerned about highway death toll."
- Mundt - No crystallized thoughts. Some work needs to be done. "If it is a genuine partnership deal with the States, I'll go along."
Would be opposed to idea of Federal government.

HIGHWAY SAFETY
HOUSE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE

DEMOCRATS

Fallon - Concerned about taking additional money from the Trust Fund. He favors the safety program, provided additional funds can be added to the Trust Fund. Favors highway safety; believes one cannot publicly object to financing from Trust Fund because of the "emotional" issue involved. Generally in favor, but not committed to every detail until he sees it.

Blatnik -

Jones - Will go along

Kluczyneki - Not available, but his staff reports he is okay on highway safety, including financing from the Trust Fund

Wright - Okay, including safety standards for vehicles

Gray - Okay. 2/17

Clark - Okay.

Edmondson - Okay on safety, including stand-by authority on vehicles.

Johnson - (California)

Dorn - Concerned about financing, but believes he will be with us.

Henderson - Concerned about grants to States, but approved mandatory requirements on auto makers.

Olsen -

Tuten - Okay.

Rivers - (Alaska) Okay.

Roberts -

Everett -

McCarthy - Okay.

Kee - Okay. 2/17

Schmidhauser - Strongly for; concerned whether Administration bill will be strong enough

Sweeney - Okay. 2/17

Howard - No problem (wants speech to deliver in support of President when message arrives)

Dyal - Okay, and has written constituents saying so. Feels we cannot afford not to. Will work in favor of the President's program and make speeches on its behalf.

Edwards -

Highway Safety
House Public Works Committee (Continued)



REPUBLICANS

Cramer - For, but grave reservations about use of Trust Fund. 2/17
Baldwin -
Harsha -
Kunkel - Probably for. 2/17
Grover -
Cleveland -
Clausen - (California) Open minded; recognizes need.
Halleck -
Reid - (Illinois) Hope to do something, not sure what.
McEwen - For, but bothered over mandatory controls for auto safety.
Martin - (Alabama)

OTHER HOUSE MEMBERS

DEMOCRATS

Randall - (Missouri) Okay
Moorhead - "I'm more sympathetic to such a program after hearing from you; I'm waiting to be sold."
Helstoski - Okay.

HIGHWAY SAFETY
HOUSE INTERSTATE AND FOREIGN COMMERCE COMMITTEE

DEMOCRATS

- Staggers - Expressed the conviction that the Commerce Committee should have jurisdiction over some of the features in the highway safety program. Mr. Staggers is very upset at prospect of safety bill going to Public Works. Has appealed to us to "do something." We suggested that jurisdiction and referral were matter for the House leadership (Carl Albert) and that, as of now, the President intended to finance the safety program from the Trust Fund.
- Rogers - Texas. Non-committal. 2/17
- Friedel - Okay
- Macdonald -
- Jarman -
- O'Brien -
- Moss - Expressed no view. Questions why program will go to Public Works
- Dingell - "Not disposed to fight the plan on safety." He predicts jurisdictional problems between Ways and Means versus Public Works rather than Public Works versus Commerce. "Auto dealers will fuss." Will go along with the program "If you don't kick auto makers too hard. I don't bow to the Trust Fund like some others." He therefore has no objection on grounds of Trust Fund problems.
- Rogers - (Florida) Favors a strong highway safety program.
- Kornegay - Concerned about any delay in construction of interstate highways as result of taking money from the Trust Fund.
- Van Deerlin -
- Pickle - No strong feeling except "It's time to do something."
- Rooney - Favors the program.
- Murphy - Generally in favor; should have recommendations to States to eliminate the "chronic nuts" who cause most of the accidents, with penalties for failure to act.
- Satterfield - Concerned about who makes decisions and who is calling the shots in the Federal aid to State program.
- Ronan - Sounds okay.
- Huot -
- Mackay -
- Gilligan - Generally favors. Makes sense to have uniform standards to replace confusion caused by standards of 50 States.

Highway Safety
House Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committee (continued)

Eno

Center for
Transportation

DEMOCRATS (continued)

Farnsley - Okay 2/17

Williams -

REPUBLICANS

Springer -

Younger -

Devine -

Nelson -

Keith -

Curtin -

Cunningham -

Broyhill - (North Carolina)

Harvey -

Carter -

Watson -

HIGHWAY SAFETY
SENATE COMMERCE COMMITTEE

Eno

Center for
Transportation

DEMOCRATS

Magnuson -
Pastore -
Monroney -
Lausche -
Bartlett -
Hartke -
McGee -
Hart -
Cannon -
Brewster -
Neuberger -
Bass -

REPUBLICANS

Cotton - Dead set against use of Trust Fund for such purposes. Completion of Interstate System is just as important from safety point of view. In favor of a safety program, but should come out of general fund. Upset by prospect that safety bill will go to Public Works rather than Commerce.

Morton - Generally favorable. 2/17

Scott -

Prouty -

Pearson -

Dominick -

2/18/66

PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENT OF ICC CHAIRMAN



Senate Government Operations

Membership 14
Contacted 7
Democrats for 2
Republicans for 2
Total for 4

Democrats against _____
Republicans against _____
Total against _____

*2 D } undecided
1 R }*

House Government Operations

Membership 34
Contacted 13
Democrats for 8
Republicans for 1
Total for 9

Democrats against _____
Republicans against _____
Total against _____

*2 D - no opinion
2 R - undecided*

ICC

SENATE GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

Eno

Center for
Transportation

DEMOCRATS

McClellan -
Jackson - Okay
Ervin - Non-committal
Greuning -
Muskie -
Ribicoff -
Harris - No opinion.
Kennedy - No objection to ICC CHAIRMAN being Presidential Appointee.
Metcalf -
Montoya -

REPUBLICANS

Mundt - Will go along provided there is a fixed term that is not too long. Agrees present system is unsatisfactory.
Curtis - No thoughts
Javits - Sounds like a smart idea. 2/17
Simpson -

HOUSE GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

DEMOCRATS

Dawson -
 Holifield - Will go along
 Brooks - Most enthusiastic
 Fountain -
 Hardy -
 Blatnik -
 Jones - (Alabama)
 Garmatz -
 Moss - No positive commitment but appears favorable.
 Fascell -
 Reuss -
 Monagan - "Off hand, no reaction."
 Macdonald -
 Roush - No difficulty
 Moorhead - Sounds good, but questions whether appointment at discretion of the President would keep the chairman in "fear and trembling."
 Gallagher - Will support
 Randall -
 Rosenthal - Okay
 Wright -
 St. Germain -
 King - (Utah)
 Dow - No opinion
 Helstoski - Okay.

REPUBLICANS

Dyer -
 Griffin -
 Reid - (New York) Okay

Eno

Center for
Transportation

REPUBLICANS (continued)

Horton - Unknown implications.
Rumsfeld -
Dickinson -
Erlenborn - No particular reaction.
Callaway -
Wydler -
Dole -
Brown - (Ohio)

Eno

Center for
Transportation

PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENT OF ICC CHAIRMAN

Although reorganization plan will be handled by Government Operations, views of House and Senate Commerce Committee members may be important).

Senate Commerce Committee

Membership 18

Contacted 7

Democrats for 5

Republicans for 2

Total for 7

Democrats against _____

Republicans against _____

Total against _____

House Commerce Committee

Membership 32

Contacted 13

Democrats for 6

Republicans for _____

Total for 6

Democrats against _____

Republicans against _____

Total against _____

7 D Undecided

Center for
Transportation

SENATE COMMERCE COMMITTEE

DEMOCRATS

Magnuson -

Pastore - Okay (Manatos)

Monroney - Okay (Manatos) - Non-committal (Alan Boyd)

Lausche -

Bartlett -

Hartke - Okay (Manatos)

McGee -

Hart - Okay (Manatos)

Cannon -

Brewster - Okay (Manatos)

Neuberger -

Bass -

REPUBLICANS

Cotton - Okay

Morton - Generally favorable.

Scott -

Prouty -

Pearson -

Dominick -

Other Senators not on Government Operations or Commerce
Committees

DEMOCRATS

Randolph - non committal.

REPUBLICANS

Murphy - Okay.

Cooper - Non-committal.

HOUSE INTERSTATE AND FOREIGN COMMERCE COMMITTEE

DEMOCRATS

Staggers - Upset by report that BOB considering appointment of Republican as permanent chairman.

Rogers - (Texas) No opinion yet. 2/17

Friedel - Questions whether it would be permanent appointment.

Macdonald -

Jarman -

O'Brien -

Moss -

Dingell - Okay.

Rogers - (Florida) No strong thoughts.

Kornegay - Knows of no objections.

Van Deerlin -

Pickle - Okay

Rooney - (Pa.) No opinion 2/15

Murphy - (N. Y.) Wants to look at.

Satterfield - No feeling

Ronan - Okay

Huot -

Mackay -

Gilligan - Okay

Farnsley - Okay

Williams

REPUBLICANS

Springer -

Younger -

Devine -

Nelsen -

Keith -

Eno

Center for
Transportation

Curtin -
Cunningham -
Broyhill - (North Carolina)
Harvey -
Carter -
Watson -

Eno

Center for
Transportation

OTHER HOUSE MEMBERS

DEMOCRATS

Fallon - Okay
McCarthy - Okay
Dorn - Unknown
Dyal - Okay
Rivers - (Alaska) Okay
Gray - (Illinois) Okay
Kee - Okay
Sweeney - Okay
Schmidhauser - Okay

REPUBLICANS

Kunkel - No opinion
Cramer - Questions necessity
Reid - (Ill.) No opinion
McEwen - Non-committal
Calusen - Open-minded.

Transportation

Eno

Center for
Transportation

7:55 p.m., Friday
February 18, 1966

FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM Joe Califano

I talked to Maggie and he will introduce the Transportation Department and Highway Safety Bills as soon as he returns. He said he might try and "sneak a couple of extra days in Stockholm". So we would not be able to send the message up until March 8. (This delays us almost another week.)

We have two other messages already approved with which we can go next week. Bill and I plan to go with pollution on Wednesday, February 23 and domestic health and education on Thursday, February 24.

We will have a briefing in the White House Mess on pollution on Washington's birthday, February 22, at 5:30 and on health and education on Wednesday evening, February 23 (early enough so you can stop by if you so desire before going to New York). Manatos and Wilson say that even though it is Washington's birthday, we should have the briefing because the Senators and Representatives very much like to come over here.

Bill and I will work out appropriate background briefings for important columnists and reporters.

Unless you have some objection, we will proceed on this schedule and begin inviting people tomorrow.

cc: Henry Wilson



THE SECRETARY OF COMMERCE
WASHINGTON 25, D.C.

February 21, 1966

Transportation

Eno

Center for
Transportation

MEMORANDUM

To : Joe Califano

From : Secretary of Commerce

Subject: = Congressional contacts on new Department of
Transportation, Highway Safety and Presidential
Appointment of ICC Chairman

Leadership --

Hale Boggs - New department - is concerned about possible effects
on inland barges, trucking and maritime. Wants to look
at the plan very carefully before making a commitment.

Highway Safety - strongly in favor of action, but has
reservations about tapping the Trust Fund without further
revenue being added.

ICC - Okay.

John Moss - Generally favorable to package.

Mansfield - Unavailable.

Wilbur Mills - New department - has no problem.

ICC - Okay

Highway safety - concerned about additional expense to
Highway Trust Fund, without additional revenues. States
that pending proposal for increased user taxes on truckers will
not receive Committee approval. Suggested possibility of
reimposition of excise tax on automobile replacement parts.
He is against stretch-out in time for completion of Interstate
system.

Sen. Pastore - Believes that transportation functions should be grouped
within the Department of Commerce rather than in a new
Department. He sees transportation as a "means to an end,"
namely, the support of our economy rather than an end in
itself, and on this basis it would be more logical to have
transportation functions under leadership of the Secretary of
Commerce.

ICC - no problem.

Safety - no commitment.

Joe Califano

2

Rep. Albert - New Department - okay.
ICC - Okay.

Safety - Okay, but believes that bill will be referred to Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committee rather than Public Works Committee even if financing is through the Trust Fund.

Rep. Mahon - Concerned about new legislative proposals in view of present Vietnam situation and the great deal of legislation passed last year. He makes it clear that there are many scars left from highway beauty fight last year. Suggests "a little bit of non-change," instead of so many new proposals. He believes Congress, and the public, is in no mood for additional legislative programs.

ICC - no problem.

Safety - concern over additional expenditures even from the Trust Fund. Said he will discuss with Wilbur Mills, George Fallon and possibly Harley Stagers.

There are no further significant contacts to add to the detailed list transmitted to you late Friday, except for Mrs. Dwyer, ranking Republican on the House Government Operations Committee:

Mrs. Dwyer - concerned that proposed new Department is a piecemeal effort like HUD. Suggests advisability of study of broad reorganization of government, like the Hoover Commission. She expresses an "uneasy feeling" that new Department would "end in confusion." She also inquired about role of mass transit.

ICC - no problem, but must keep regulatory agencies independent.

John T. Connor

Transportation

Eno

Center for
Transportation

February 28, 1966

MEMORANDUM

To : Joe Califano

From: Alan S. Boyd

Attached are the latest numerical compilations on Congressional contacts made in reference to the President's Message on Transportation:

- 1. Department of Transportation**
- 2. Highway Safety**
- 3. Presidential Appointment of ICG Chairman.**

cc:

Henry Wilson

Mike Manatos

Sherwin Markman

HIGHWAY SAFETY

2/28/66

Eno

Center for
Transportation

Senate Commerce Committee

12 Democrats; six Republicans,

Democrats contacted 5; Republicans contacted 2

Democrats for 3

Democrats against 0

Republicans for 4

Republicans against 0

Total for 7

Total against 0

Republicans non-committal 1

Democrats non-committal 1

Democrats leaning favorable 1

House Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committee

21 Democrats; 11 Republicans

Democrats contacted 14; Republicans contacted 7

Democrats for 8

Republicans for 0

Total for 8

Democrats undecided or against 6

Republicans leaning for 2

Republicans leaning against 2

Republicans undecided 3

2/28/66

PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENT OF ICC CHAIRMAN

On assumption that it will be a reorganization plan, jurisdiction would be
Government Operations Committee

Senate Government Operations

10 Democrats; 4 Republicans

Democrats contacted 9; Republicans contacted 4.

Democrats for 7

Democrats against 0

Republicans for 2

Republicans against 0

Total for 5

Total against 0

Democrats undecided 2

Republicans undecided 2

House Government Operations

23 Democrats; 10 Republicans

Democrats contacted 16; Republicans contacted 8

Democrats for 13

Democrats against 0

Republicans for 2

Republicans against 0

Total for 15

Total against 0

Democrats undecided 3

Republicans undecided 5

Republicans leaning against 1

2/28/66

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Jurisdiction -- House and Senate Government Operations Committees

Eno
Center for
Transportation

Senate Government Operations

10 Democrats, 4 Republicans

Democrats contacted 9, Republicans contacted 4.

Democrats for 7

Democrats against 0

Republicans for 1

Republicans against 0

Total for 8

Total against 0

Democrats non-committal 2

Republicans non-committal or with reservations 3

House Government Operations

23 Democrats, 10 Republicans

Democrats contacted 21, Republicans contacted 8

Democrats for 15

Democrats against 1

Republicans for 2

Republicans against 0

Total for 17

Total against 1

Democrats undecided or leaning against 5

Republicans undecided 6